arXiv:1403.7713v1 [math.ST] 30 Mar 2014

On ADF Goodness-of-Fit Tests for Perturbed Dynamical Systems

Yu.A. Kutoyants
Laboratoire de Statistique et Processus, Université du Maine
Le Mans, FRANCE

Abstract

We consider the problem of the construction of the goodness-of-fit tests for diffusion processes with *small noise*. The basic hypothesis is composite parametric and our goal is to obtain asymptotically distribution free tests. We propose two solutions. The first one is based on the change of time and the second test we obtain with the help of some linear transformation of the "natural" statistics.

MSC 2000 Classification: 62M02, 62G10, 62G20.

Key words: *Cramér-von Mises tests, perturbed dynamical systems, diffusion processes, goodness of fit test, asymptotically distribution free test.*

1 Introduction

We consider the following problem. Suppose that we observe a trajectory $X^\varepsilon = \{X_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ of diffusion process

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = S\left(t, X_t\right) \mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon\sigma\left(t, X_t\right) \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x_0, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \tag{1}$$

where $W_t, 0 \le t \le T$ is a Wiener process, $\sigma\left(t, x\right)$ is known smooth function, the initial value x_0 is deterministic and the trend coefficient $S\left(t, x\right)$ is unknown function. Here $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is a given parameter. We have to test the composite (parametric) hypothesis

$$\mathscr{H}_0 \quad : \quad \mathrm{d}X_t = S\left(\vartheta, t, X_t\right) \mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon\sigma\left(t, X_t\right) \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x_0, \quad 0 \le t \le T \tag{2}$$

against alternative

$$\mathscr{H}_1 \ : \ \text{not } \mathscr{H}_0.$$

Here $S(\vartheta,t,x)$ is a known smooth function of ϑ and x. The parameter $\vartheta\in\Theta$ is unknown and the set $\Theta\subset\mathcal{R}^d$ is open and bounded. Let us fix some value $\alpha\in(0,1)$ and consider the class of tests of asymptotic ($\varepsilon\to0$) size α:

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha=\left\{\bar{\psi}_\varepsilon\ :\quad \mathbf{E}_\vartheta\bar{\psi}_\varepsilon=\alpha+o\left(1\right)\right\}\quad\text{for all}\quad\vartheta\in\Theta.$$

The test $\bar{\psi}_\varepsilon=\bar{\psi}_\varepsilon\left(X^\varepsilon\right)$ is the probability to reject the hypothesis $\mathscr{H}_0$ and $\mathbf{E}_\vartheta$ means the mathematical expectation under hypothesis $\mathscr{H}_0$.

Our goal is to find the goodness-of-fit (GoF) tests, which are *asymptotically distribution free* (ADF), i.e., we seek the test statistics whose limit distributions under hypothesis do not depend on the underlying model given by the functions $S\left(\vartheta,t,x\right),\sigma\left(t,x\right)$ and parameter ϑ. This work is a continuation of the study [9], where the ADF test was proposed in the case of simple basic hypôthesis.

The behaviour of the stochastic systems governed by such equations (called *perturbed dynamical systems*) is well studied, see, e.g., [3] and the references there in. The estimation theory (parametric and non parametric) for such models of observations is well developped too, see, e.g., [8] and [15],[16].

Let us remind the well-known related basic results in the case of the i.i.d. observations model. We start with the simple hypothesis. Suppose that we observe n i.i.d. r.v.'s $(X_1,\ldots,X_n)=X^n$ with continuous distribution function $F\left(x\right)$. The basic hypothesis is

$$\mathscr{H}_0,\qquad F\left(x\right)\equiv F_0\left(x\right),\qquad x\in\mathcal{R}.$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ the class of tests of asymptotic ($n\to\infty$) size $\alpha\in(0,1)$, i.e.;

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha=\left\{\bar{\psi}\quad:\quad\mathbf{E}_0\,\bar{\psi}=\alpha+o\left(1\right)\right\}.$$

As usual $\bar{\psi}$ is the probability to reject the hypothesis $\mathscr{H}_0$. One possibility of the costruction of the test belonging to $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is to use the Cramér-von Mises statistic

$$D_n=n\int\left[\hat{F}_n\left(x\right)-F_0\left(x\right)\right]^2\mathrm{d}F_0\left(x\right),\quad\hat{F}_n\left(x\right)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{1}_{\{X_j<x\}}.$$

Here $\hat{F}_n\left(x\right)$ is the empirical distribution function. The test is of the form $\psi_n\left(X^n\right)=\mathbb{1}_{\{D_n>c_\alpha\}}$.

The choice of the constant c_α is based on the following well-known properties. We have the weak convergence (under hypothesis $\mathscr{H}_0$)

$$B_n\left(x\right)=\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{F}_n\left(x\right)-F_0\left(x\right)\right)\Longrightarrow B\left(F_0\left(x\right)\right),$$

where $B(\cdot)$ is a Brownian bridge process. Hence, it can be shown that

$$D_n \Longrightarrow \delta \equiv \int_0^1 B(s)^2 \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

and the *Cramér-von Mises* test

$$\psi_n(X^n) = \mathbb{1}_{\{D_n > c_\alpha\}} \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha, \qquad \mathbf{P}\{\delta > c_\alpha\} = \alpha$$

is *asymptotically distribution-free*.

In the case of parametric basic hypothesis:

$$\mathscr{H}_0 \quad : \qquad F(x) = F(\vartheta, x), \quad \vartheta \in \Theta,$$

where $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta)$ the situation changes. If we introduce the similar statistic

$$\hat{D}_n = n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\hat{F}_n(x) - F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n, x\right)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n, x\right),$$

where $\hat{\vartheta}_n$ is the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE), then (under regularity conditions) we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(x) &= \sqrt{n}\left(\hat{F}_n(x) - F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n, x\right)\right) \\ &= \sqrt{n}\left(\hat{F}_n(x) - F(\vartheta, x)\right) + \sqrt{n}\left(F(\vartheta, x) - F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n, x\right)\right) \\ &= B_n(x) - \sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta\right) \dot{F}(\vartheta, x) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

For the MLE we can use its representation (brlow $\ell(\vartheta, x) = \ln f(\vartheta, x)$)

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\dot{\ell}(\vartheta, X_j)}{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)} + o(1) = \int \frac{\dot{\ell}(\vartheta, x)}{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)} \mathrm{d}B_n(x) + o(1).$$

All these allow us to write the limit $U(\cdot)$ of the statistic $U_n(\cdot)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(x) &\Longrightarrow B(F(\vartheta, x)) - \int \frac{\dot{\ell}(\vartheta, y)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)}} \mathrm{d}B(F(\vartheta, y)) \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\dot{\ell}(\vartheta, y)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)}} \mathrm{d}F(\vartheta, y) \\ &= B(t) - \int_0^1 h(\vartheta, v) \, \mathrm{d}B(v) \int_0^t h(\vartheta, v) \, \mathrm{d}v \equiv U(\vartheta, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $t = F(\vartheta, x)$ and we put

$$h(\vartheta, t) = \frac{\dot{\ell}\left(\vartheta, F_\vartheta^{-1}(t)\right)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)}}, \qquad \int_0^1 h(\vartheta, v)^2 \, \mathrm{d}v = 1.$$

If the parameter $\vartheta \in \Theta \subset \mathcal{R}^d$, then we obtain the similar equation

$$U(\vartheta, t) = B(t) - \Big\langle \int_0^1 \mathbf{h}(\vartheta, v)\, \mathrm{d}B(v), \int_0^t \mathbf{h}(\vartheta, v)\, \mathrm{d}v \Big\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product in $\mathcal{R}^d$.

This presentation of the limit process $U(\vartheta, t)$ can be found in [2] Of course the test $\hat{\psi}_n = \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\hat{D}_n > c_\alpha\right\}}$ is not ADF and the choice of the threshold c_α can be a difficult problem. One way to avoid this problem is, for example, to find transformation $L_W[U](t) = w(t)$, where $w(\cdot)$ is a Wiener process. This transformation provide us the equality

$$\Delta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_W[U](F(\vartheta, x))^2\, \mathrm{d}F(\vartheta, x) = \int_0^1 w(t)^2\, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Hence if we prove the convergence

$$\tilde{D}_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_W[U_n](x)^2\, \mathrm{d}F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n, x\right) \Longrightarrow \Delta,$$

then the test $\tilde{\psi}_n = \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{D}_n > c_\alpha\right\}}$, with $\mathbf{P}(\Delta > c_\alpha) = \alpha$ is ADF. Note that such transformation was proposed by Khmaladze [6]. Our second test is based on this transformation.

At the present work we consider the similar problem for the model of observations (1) with parametric basic hypothesis (2). Note that several problems of GoF testing for the model of observations (1) with simple basic hypothesis $\Theta = \{\vartheta_0\}$ were studied in the works [1], [5], [9]. The tests considered there are mainly based on the normalized difference $\varepsilon^{-1}(X_t - x_t)$, where $x_t = x_t(\vartheta_0)$ is solution of the equation (2) as $\varepsilon = 0$. This statistic is in some sense similar to the normalized difference $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{F}_n(x) - F_0(x)\right)$ used in the GoF problems for the i.i.d. r.v.'s model. We propose two GoF ADF tests.

Let us first remind the related results in the case of simple hypothesis (from [9]). Suppose that the observed homogeneous diffusion process under hypothesis is

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = S_0(X_t)\, \mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon\sigma(X_t)\, \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x_0, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

where $S_0(x)$ is some known smooth function. Denote $x_t = X_t|_{\varepsilon=0}$. The process $X_t \to x_t$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and we construct the GoF test on the basis of the statistic $v_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon^{-1}(X_t - x_t)$. The limit of this statistic is Gaussian process.

This process can be transformed into Wiener process as follows. Introduce the statistic

$$\delta_\varepsilon = \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{\sigma\left(x_t\right)}{S_0\left(x_t\right)}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t\right]^{-2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{X_t - x_t}{\varepsilon\, S_0\left(x_t\right)^2}\right)^2 \sigma\left(x_t\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

The following convergence

$$\delta_\varepsilon \Longrightarrow \Delta = \int_0^1 w\left(s\right)^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

was proved and therefore the test $\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{1}_{\{\delta_\varepsilon > c_\alpha\}}$ with $\mathbf{P}\left(\Delta > c_\alpha\right) = \alpha$ is ADF.

Consider now the hypotheses testing problem (1)-(2). The solution x_t of the equation (2) as $\varepsilon = 0$ depends on $\vartheta \in \Theta \subset \mathcal{R}^d$, i.e., $x_t = x_t\left(\vartheta\right)$. The statistic $\hat{v}_\varepsilon\left(t\right) = \varepsilon^{-1}(X_t - x_t(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon))$ (here $\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon$ is the MLE) is in some sense similar to $U_n\left(\cdot\right)$. Let us denote $v\left(t\right)$ the limit of $v_\varepsilon\left(t\right)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and suppose that we found the transformation $L_U\left[\hat{v}\right]\left(\cdot\right)$ of $\hat{v}\left(\cdot\right)$ into the Gaussian process

$$U\left(\vartheta, t\right) = W\left(t\right) - \left\langle \int_0^1 \mathbf{h}\left(\vartheta, s\right) \,\mathrm{d}W\left(s\right), \int_0^t \mathbf{h}\left(\vartheta, s\right) \,\mathrm{d}s \right\rangle, \quad 0 \le t \le 1$$

with some vector-function $\mathbf{h}\left(\vartheta, s\right)$ satisfying

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{h}\left(\vartheta, s\right) \mathbf{h}\left(\vartheta, s\right)^* \,\mathrm{d}s = \mathbb{J}.$$

Here $\mathbb{J}$ is $d \times d$ unit matrix.

The next steps are two transformations of $U\left(\cdot\right)$: one into the Brownian bridge $L_B\left[U\right]\left(s\right) = B\left(s\right)$ and another into the Wiener process $L_W\left[U\right]\left(s\right) = w\left(s\right)$ respectively. This allows us to construct the ADF GoF tets as folows. Let us introduce (formally) the statistics

$$\delta_\varepsilon = \int_0^T \left(L_B\left[L_U\left[\hat{v}_\varepsilon\right]\right]\left(t\right)\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t, \qquad \Delta_\varepsilon = \int_0^T \left(L_W\left[L_U\left[\hat{v}_\varepsilon\right]\left(t\right)\right]\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t,$$

and suppose that we proved the convergences

$$\delta_\varepsilon \Longrightarrow \delta = \int_0^1 B\left(s\right)^2 \mathrm{d}s, \qquad \Delta_\varepsilon \Longrightarrow \Delta = \int_0^1 w\left(s\right)^2 \mathrm{d}s,$$

then the tests

$$\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{1}_{\{\delta_\varepsilon > d_\alpha\}}, \ \mathbf{P}\left(\delta > d_\alpha\right) = \alpha, \qquad \hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta_\varepsilon > c_\alpha\}}, \ \mathbf{P}\left(\Delta > c_\alpha\right) = \alpha,$$

belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ and are ADF. Our goal is to realize this program.

The similar result for ergodic diffusion processes was presented in the works [10] (simple basic hypothesis) and [7] (parametric basic hypothesis).

2 Auxiliary results

We have the stochastic differential equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = S\left(\vartheta, t, X_t\right)\,\mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon\sigma\left(t, X_t\right)\,\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x_0, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \qquad (4)$$

where $\vartheta \in \Theta$, Θ is an open bounded subset of $\mathcal{R}^d$ and ε is a *small parameter*, i.e., we study this equation in the asymptotics $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Introduce the Lipschitz and linear growth conditions

$\mathcal{C}1$: *The functions* $S\left(\vartheta, t, x\right)$ *and* $\sigma\left(t, x\right)$ *satisfy the relations*

$$\left|S\left(\vartheta, t, x\right) - S\left(\vartheta, t, y\right)\right| + \left|\sigma\left(t, x\right) - \sigma\left(t, y\right)\right| \le L\left|x - y\right|,$$
$$\left|S\left(\vartheta, t, x\right)\right| + \left|\sigma\left(t, x\right)\right| \le L\left(1 + \left|x\right|\right).$$

Remind that by these conditions the stochastic differential equation (4) has a unique strong solution [12], and moreover this solution $X^\varepsilon = \{X_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ converges uniformly with respect to t to the solution $x^T = \{x_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = S\left(\vartheta, t, x_t\right), \qquad x_0, \quad 0 \le t \le T. \qquad (5)$$

Note that the function $x_t = x_t\left(\vartheta\right)$ (see, e.g., [3], [8]).

$\mathcal{C}2$: *The diffusion coefficient* $\sigma\left(t, x\right)^2$ *is separated from zero*

$$\inf_{0 \le t \le T, x} \sigma\left(t, x\right)^2 > 0.$$

The conditions $\mathcal{C}1-\mathcal{C}2$ provide the equivalence of the measures $\left\{\mathbf{P}_\vartheta^{(\varepsilon)}, \vartheta \in \Theta\right\}$ induced in the measurable space $\left(\mathscr{C}_T, \mathfrak{B}_T\right)$ by the solutions of equation (4) [12]. Here $\mathscr{C}_T$ is the space of continuous on $[0, T]$ functions with uniform metrics and $\mathfrak{B}_T$ is the Borelian σ - algebra of its subsets. The likelihood ratio function is

$$L\left(\vartheta, X^\varepsilon\right) = \exp\left\{\int_0^T \frac{S\left(\vartheta, t, X_t\right)}{\varepsilon^2\sigma\left(t, X_t\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}X_t - \int_0^T \frac{S\left(\vartheta, t, X_t\right)^2}{2\varepsilon^2\sigma\left(t, X_t\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\right\}, \quad \vartheta \in \Theta,$$

and the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) $\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon$ is defined by the equation

$$L\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, X^\varepsilon\right) = \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} L\left(\vartheta, X^\varepsilon\right).$$

The following regularity conditions (smoothness and identifiability) provides us the necessary properties of the MLE. Below $x_t = x_t\left(\vartheta_0\right)$.

$\mathcal{C}3$: *The functions* $S(\vartheta,t,x)$ *and* $\sigma(t,x)$ *have two continuous bounded derivatives w.r.t.* x *and the function* $S(\vartheta,t,x)$ *has two continuous bounded derivatives w.r.t.* ϑ.

For any $\nu>0$

$$\inf_{\vartheta_0\in\Theta}\inf_{|\vartheta-\vartheta_0|>\nu}\int_0^T\left(\frac{S(\vartheta,t,x_t)-S(\vartheta_0,t,x_t)}{\sigma(t,x_t)}\right)^2\mathrm{d}t>0$$

and the information matrix $(d\times d)$

$$\mathbb{I}(\vartheta_0)=\int_0^T\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta_0,t,x_t)\;\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta_0,t,x_t)^*}{\sigma(t,x_t)^2}\,\mathrm{d}t$$

is uniformly non degenerate:

$$\inf_{\vartheta_0\in\Theta}\inf_{|\lambda|=1}\lambda^*\mathbb{I}(\vartheta_0)\,\lambda>0.$$

We denote by prime the derivatives w.r.t. x and t and by dot we denote the derivatives w.r.t. ϑ, i.e., for a function $f=f(\vartheta,t,x)$ we write

$$f'(\vartheta,t,x)=\frac{\partial f(\vartheta,t,x)}{\partial x},\ f'_t(\vartheta,t,x)=\frac{\partial f(\vartheta,t,x)}{\partial t},\ \dot{f}(\vartheta,t,x)=\frac{\partial f(\vartheta,t,x)}{\partial\vartheta}.$$

Of course, in the case of $d>1$ the derivative $\dot{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta,t,x)$ is a vector-column.

If the conditions $\mathcal{C}2$ and $\mathcal{C}3$ are fulfilled, then the MLE admits the representation

$$\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon-\vartheta\right)=\mathbb{I}(\vartheta)^{-1}\int_0^T\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,t,x_t)}{\sigma(t,x_t)}\,\mathrm{d}W_t+o(1).\tag{6}$$

Here $x_t=x_t(\vartheta)$. For the proof see [8].

Note that $X_t=X_t(\varepsilon)$ (solution of the equation (4)) under condition $\mathcal{C}3$ is continuosly differentiable w.r.t. ε. Denote the derivatives

$$X_t^{(1)}=\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial\varepsilon},\qquad x_t^{(1)}=\left.\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial\varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0},\qquad 0\le t\le T.$$

The equations for $X_t^{(1)}$ and $x_t^{(1)}$ are

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^{(1)}=S'(\vartheta,t,X_t)\,X_t^{(1)}\,\mathrm{d}t+\left[\varepsilon\sigma'(t,X_t)\,X_t^{(1)}+\sigma(t,X_t)\right]\mathrm{d}W_t,\quad X_0^{(1)}=0$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}x_t^{(1)}=S'(\vartheta,t,x_t)\,x_t^{(1)}\,\mathrm{d}t+\sigma(t,x_t)\,\mathrm{d}W_t,\quad x_0^{(1)}=0,\tag{7}$$

respectively. Hence $x_t^{(1)}, 0 \leq t \leq T$ is a Gaussian process and it can be written as

$$x_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t \exp\left\{\int_s^t S'(\vartheta, v, x_v)\,\mathrm{d}v\right\}\sigma(s, x_s)\;\mathrm{d}W_s. \tag{8}$$

Let us denote

$$\psi(t) = \exp\left\{\int_0^t S'(\vartheta, v, x_v)\,\mathrm{d}v\right\}, \quad \psi_\varepsilon(t) = \exp\left\{\int_0^t S'\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, v, X_v\right)\mathrm{d}v\right\}.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{X_t - x_t(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} &= \frac{X_t - x_t(\vartheta)}{\varepsilon} + \frac{x_t(\vartheta) - x_t\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon\right)}{\varepsilon} \\
&= X_t^{(1)} - \left\langle \frac{(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon - \vartheta)}{\varepsilon}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}_t(\vartheta)\right\rangle + o(1) \\
&= x_t^{(1)} - \left\langle \mathbb{I}(\vartheta)^{-1}\int_0^T \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, x_s)}{\sigma(s, x_s)}\;\mathrm{d}W_s, \dot{\mathbf{x}}_t(\vartheta)\right\rangle + o(1) \\
&= \psi(t)\,V(t) + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$V(t) = \psi(t)^{-1}\,x_t^{(1)} - \psi(t)^{-1}\left\langle \mathbb{I}(\vartheta)^{-1}\int_0^T \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, x_s)}{\sigma(s, x_s)}\;\mathrm{d}W_s,\ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_t(\vartheta)\right\rangle.$$

Introduce the random process

$$U(\vartheta, t) = \int_0^t \frac{\psi(s)}{\sigma(s, x_s)}\mathrm{d}V(s).$$

Lemma 1 *We have the equality*

$$U(\vartheta, t) = W_t - \left\langle \int_0^T \mathbf{h}(\vartheta, s)\,\mathrm{d}W_s, \int_0^t \mathbf{h}(\vartheta, s)\;\mathrm{d}s\right\rangle, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{9}$$

where the vector function

$$\mathbf{h}(\vartheta, t) = \mathbb{I}(\vartheta)^{-1/2}\,\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, t, x_t)}{\sigma(t, x_t)}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. The solution of the equation (7) can be written (see (8)) as

$$x_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t \frac{\psi(t)\,\sigma(s,x_s)}{\psi(s)} \mathrm{d}W_s.$$

For the vector $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t(\vartheta)$ we can write

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t(\vartheta) = \int_0^t S'(\vartheta,s,x_s)\,\dot{\mathbf{x}}_s(\vartheta)\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

The solution of this equation is

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t(\vartheta) = \psi(t)\int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)}{\psi(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Introduce two stochastic processes

$$v_1(t) = \psi(t)^{-1} x_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t \psi(s)^{-1}\ \sigma(s,x_s)\ \mathrm{d}W_s,$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_2(t) = \psi(t)^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t(\vartheta) = \int_0^t \psi(s)^{-1}\ \dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)\ \mathrm{d}s.$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} U(\vartheta,t) &= \int_0^t \frac{\psi(s)}{\sigma(s,x_s)}\,\mathrm{d}V(s) = \int_0^t \frac{\psi(s)}{\sigma(s,x_s)}\,\mathrm{d}v_1(s) \\ &\qquad - \Big\langle \mathbb{I}(\vartheta)^{-1}\int_0^T \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)}{\sigma(s,x_s)}\,\mathrm{d}W_s, \int_0^t \frac{\psi(s)}{\sigma(s,x_s)}\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_2(s)\Big\rangle \\ &= W(t) - \Big\langle \mathbb{I}(\vartheta)^{-1/2}\int_0^T \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)}{\sigma(s,x_s)}\,\mathrm{d}W_s, \mathbb{I}(\vartheta)^{-1/2}\int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)}{\sigma(s,x_s)}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big\rangle \\ &= W_t - \Big\langle \int_0^T \mathbf{h}(\vartheta,s)\,\mathrm{d}W_s, \int_0^t \mathbf{h}(\vartheta,s)\ \mathrm{d}s\Big\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the random process

$$u(\vartheta,r) = T^{-1/2}U(\vartheta,rT), \qquad 0\le r\le 1$$

and denote

$$\mathbb{I}_1(\vartheta) = \int_0^1 \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,rT,x_{rT})\,\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,rT,x_{rT})^*}{\sigma(rT,x_{rT})^2}\,\mathrm{d}r,$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\vartheta,r) = \mathbb{I}_1(\vartheta)^{-1/2}\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,rT,x_{rT})}{\sigma(rT,x_{rT})}, \quad w_r = T^{-1/2}W_{rT}.$$

Then we can write

$$u\left(\vartheta,r\right)=w_r-\Big\langle\int_0^1\tilde{\mathbf{h}}\left(\vartheta,q\right)\mathrm{d}w_q,\int_0^r\tilde{\mathbf{h}}\left(\vartheta,q\right)\,\mathrm{d}q\Big\rangle,\qquad 0\leq r\leq 1,\qquad(11)$$

and we have

$$\int_0^1\tilde{\mathbf{h}}\left(\vartheta,q\right)\tilde{\mathbf{h}}\left(\vartheta,q\right)^*\,\mathrm{d}q=\mathbb{J}.$$

Note that $u\left(\cdot\right)$ is in some sense *universal limit* which appears in the problems of goodness of fit testing for stochastic processes. For example, the same limit we obtain in the case of ergodic diffusion process and in the case of inhomogeneous Poisson process [11]. The main difference with the i.i.d. case is due to the Wiener process here, when in i.i.d. case we obtain the Brownian bridge $B(t),0\leq t\leq 1$ (see (3)). Of course we can immediately replace $B\left(t\right)$ by a Wiener process $B\left(t\right)=W_t-W_1t$ and this will increase the dimension of the vector $\mathbf{h}\left(\vartheta,\cdot\right)$. In the case of vector parameter ϑ this change is not essential and will slightly modify the calculation of the test statistics for the second type test. The same time if the parameter ϑ is one-dimensional, then we can easily construct the first-type goodness of fit test for stochastic processes and the construction of such test in i.i.d. case is not clear. The difference will be explained in the Section 3.2.

In the construction of GoF test we will use one condition else.

$\mathcal{C}4$: *The functions* $S\left(\vartheta,t,x\right)$, $\dot{\mathbf{S}}\left(\vartheta,t,x\right)$ *and* $\sigma\left(t,x\right)$ *have continuous bounded derivatives w.r.t.* $t\in[0,T]$.

3 Main results

Suppose that we observe a trajectory $X^{\varepsilon}=\left(X_t,0\leq t\leq T\right)$ of the diffusion process

$$\mathrm{d}X_t=S\left(t,X_t\right)\,\mathrm{d}t+\varepsilon\sigma\left(t,X_t\right)\,\mathrm{d}W_t,\quad X_0=x_0,\quad 0\leq t\leq T.\qquad(12)$$

We have to test the basic parametric hypothesis

$$\mathcal{H}_0,\qquad S\left(t,x\right)=S\left(\vartheta,t,x\right),\quad 0\leq t\leq T,\qquad\vartheta\in\Theta,$$

i.e., that the observed process (12) has the stochastic differential

$$\mathrm{d}X_t=S\left(\vartheta,t,X_t\right)\,\mathrm{d}t+\varepsilon\sigma\left(t,X_t\right)\,\mathrm{d}W_t,\quad X_0=x_0,\quad 0\leq t\leq T\qquad(13)$$

with some $\vartheta\in\Theta$. Here $S\left(\vartheta,t,x\right)$ and $\sigma\left(t,x\right)$ are known smooth functions and $\Theta\subset R^d$ is an open convex set. We have to test this hypothesis in the asymptotics of *small noise* (as $\varepsilon\to 0$).

Our goal is to construct such statistics $v_\varepsilon[X^\varepsilon](\cdot)$, $V_\varepsilon[X^\varepsilon](\cdot)$ that (under hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_0$)

$$\delta_\varepsilon = \int_0^T v_\varepsilon[X^\varepsilon](t)^2\,\mathrm{d}t \Longrightarrow \delta = \int_0^1 B(s)^2\,\mathrm{d}s,$$
$$\Delta_\varepsilon = \int_0^T V_\varepsilon[X^\varepsilon](t)^2\,\mathrm{d}t \Longrightarrow \Delta = \int_0^1 w(s)^2\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

where $B(\cdot)$ and $w(\cdot)$ are the Brownian bridge and the Wiener process. Then introduce the tests

$$\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{1}_{\{\delta_\varepsilon > d_\alpha\}}, \qquad \hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta_\varepsilon > c_\alpha\}}$$

with the thresholds c_α and d_α satisfying the equations

$$\mathbf{P}(\delta > d_\alpha) = \alpha, \qquad \mathbf{P}(\Delta > c_\alpha) = \alpha. \tag{14}$$

These tests will belong to the class

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \left\{\bar{\psi}_\varepsilon \ : \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathbf{E}_\vartheta\,\bar{\psi}_\varepsilon = \alpha,\ \ \forall\vartheta\in\Theta\right\}$$

and will be ADF.

We propose these tests below in the sections 3.1 and 3.2, where we call $\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon$ *the first* and $\hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon$ *the second* test respectively.

3.1 First test

The construction of the first ADF GoF test is based on the following well known property. Suppose that we have a Gaussian process $U(t), 0 \le t \le T$ satisfying the equation

$$U(t) = w(t) - \int_0^T h(s)\ \mathrm{d}w(s)\ \int_0^t h(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad \int_0^T h(s)^2\ \mathrm{d}s = 1.$$

Introduce the process

$$\begin{aligned} b(t) &= \int_0^t h(s)\ \mathrm{d}U(s) \\ &= \int_0^t h(s)\ \mathrm{d}w(s) - \int_0^1 h(s)\ \mathrm{d}w(s) \int_0^t h(s)^2\ \mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $b(0) = b(T) = 0$ and

$$\mathbf{E}\ [b(t)\,b(s)] = \int_0^{t\wedge s} h(v)^2\ \mathrm{d}v - \int_0^t h(v)^2\ \mathrm{d}v \int_0^s h(v)^2\ \mathrm{d}v.$$

Let us put

$$\tau = \int_0^s h\left(v\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}v, \qquad b\left(t\right) = B\left(\tau\right), \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &= \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t h\left(s\right) \,\mathrm{d}U\left(s\right)\right)^2 h\left(t\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^T b\left(t\right)^2 h\left(t\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 B\left(\tau\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the parameter ϑ is one-dimensional, $\vartheta \in \Theta = (a, b)$ and that we already proved the convergence(see Lemma 1)

$$U_\varepsilon\left(t\right) = \int_0^t \frac{\psi_\varepsilon\left(s\right)}{\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)} \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{X_s - x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon\psi_\varepsilon\left(s\right)}\right) \longrightarrow U\left(\vartheta, t\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where

$$U\left(\vartheta, t\right) = w\left(t\right) - \int_0^T h\left(\vartheta, s\right) \,\mathrm{d}w\left(s\right) \int_0^t h\left(\vartheta, s\right) \mathrm{d}s, \quad \int_0^T h\left(\vartheta, s\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}s = 1.$$

Remind that

$$h\left(\vartheta, s\right) = \mathrm{I}\left(\vartheta\right)^{-1/2} \frac{\dot{S}\left(\vartheta, s, x_s\right)}{\sigma\left(s, x_s\right)}, \qquad \mathrm{I}\left(\vartheta\right) = \int_0^T \frac{\dot{S}\left(\vartheta, s, x_s\right)^2}{\sigma\left(s, x_s\right)^2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Introduce (formally) the statistic

$$\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon = \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t h\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s\right) \,\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon\left(s\right)\right)^2 h(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, t)^2 \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

If we prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T \left(\int_0^t h\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s\right) \,\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon\left(s\right)\right)^2 h(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, t)^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\qquad \Longrightarrow \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t h\left(\vartheta, s\right) \,\mathrm{d}U\left(\vartheta, s\right)\right)^2 h(\vartheta, t)^2 \,\mathrm{d}t\end{aligned}$$

then the test $\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon = 1\!\!1_{\{\delta_\varepsilon > c_\alpha\}}$ will be **ADF**.

The main technical problem in the realization of this program is the definition of the stochastic integral

$$\int_0^t h\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s\right) \,\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon\left(s\right)$$

which contains the MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon = \hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon\left(X_t, 0 \le t \le T\right)$. We will proceed as follows: first we make the formal differentiation and integration and then we take the final expressions, which do not contain the stochastic integrals, as the starting statistics.

Let us introduce the statistics

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\vartheta, s, X_s) &= S\left(\vartheta, s, x_s\left(\vartheta\right)\right) + S'\left(\vartheta, s, X_s\right)\left(X_s - x_s\left(\vartheta\right)\right), \\
R\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right) &= \int_{x_0}^{X_t} \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta, t, y)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)}\,\sigma\left(t, y\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \int_{x_0}^{X_s} \frac{\dot{S}'_s(\vartheta, s, y)\sigma\left(s, y\right) - 2\dot{S}(\vartheta, s, y)\sigma'_s\left(s, y\right)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)}\,\sigma\left(s, y\right)^3}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}s, \\
Q\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right) &= \int_0^t \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta, s, X_s) D(\vartheta, s, X_s)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)}\,\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s, \\
K_\varepsilon\left(\vartheta, t\right) &= \varepsilon^{-1}\left[R\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right) - Q\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right)\right], \\
\delta_\varepsilon &= \int_0^T K_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, t)^2 h_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, t)^2\,\mathrm{d}t.
\end{aligned}
$$

The first test is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Suppose that the conditions $\mathcal{C}1 - \mathcal{C}4$ are fulfilled then the test*

$$
\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{1}_{\{\delta_\varepsilon > c_\alpha\}}, \qquad \mathbf{P}\left\{\delta > c_\alpha\right\} = \alpha
$$

is ADF and belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$.

Proof. We can write (formally)

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_\varepsilon\left(t\right) &= \int_0^t \frac{\psi_\varepsilon\left(s\right)}{\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)} \mathrm{d}V_\varepsilon\left(s\right) = \int_0^t \frac{\psi_\varepsilon\left(s\right)}{\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)} \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{X_s - x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon)}{\psi_\varepsilon\left(s\right)\varepsilon}\right) \\
&= \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}X_s}{\varepsilon\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)} - \int_0^t \left[\frac{S(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)} + \frac{S'(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X_s)\left(X_s - x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon)\right)}{\varepsilon\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)}\right] \mathrm{d}s \\
&= \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}X_s}{\varepsilon\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)} - \int_0^t \frac{D(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X_s)}{\varepsilon\sigma\left(s, X_s\right)} \mathrm{d}s, \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the equality

$$
\mathrm{d}x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon) = S(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon))\mathrm{d}s.
$$

Hence (formally) we obtain the following expression.

$$\int_0^t h_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s)\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon(s) = \int_0^t \frac{\dot{S}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X_s)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon)}\,\varepsilon\,\sigma(s, X_s)^2}\,\mathrm{d}X_s - \int_0^t \frac{\dot{S}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X_s)D(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X_s)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon)}\,\varepsilon\sigma(s, X_s)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

The estimator $\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon = \hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon(X_t, 0 \le t \le T)$ and therefore the stochastic integral is not well defined because the integrand $\dot{S}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X_s)$ is not non anticipative random function. Note that in the linear case $S(\vartheta, t, x) = \vartheta Q(s, x)$ we have no such problem (see example below). To avoid this difficulty in general case we replace the stochastic integral by it's *robust version* as follows. Introduce the function

$$M(\vartheta, t, x) = \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta, t, y)}{\sigma(t, y)^2}\,\mathrm{d}y.$$

Then by Itô formula

$$\mathrm{d}M(\vartheta, t, X_t) = M'_t(\vartheta, t, X_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{\varepsilon^2\sigma(t, X_t)^2}{2}M''_{xx}(\vartheta, t, X_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + M'_x(\vartheta, t, X_t)\,\mathrm{d}X_t$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta, s, X_s)}{\sigma(s, X_s)^2}\,\mathrm{d}X_s &= M(\vartheta, t, X_t) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \left[M'_s(\vartheta, s, X_s) + \frac{\varepsilon^2\sigma(s, X_s)^2}{2}M''_{xx}(\vartheta, s, X_s)\right]\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_{x_0}^{X_t} \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta, t, y)}{\sigma(t, y)^2}\,\mathrm{d}y - \int_0^t \int_{x_0}^{X_s} \frac{\dot{S}'_s(\vartheta, s, y)}{\sigma(s, y)^2}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{x_0}^{X_s} \frac{2\dot{S}(\vartheta, s, y)\sigma'_s(s, y)}{\sigma(s, y)^3}\mathrm{d}s - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s)^2 M''_{xx}(\vartheta, s, X_s)\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the contribution of the term

$$\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s)^2 M''_{xx}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X_s\right)\mathrm{d}s$$

is asymptotically ($\varepsilon \to 0$) negligeable. Therefore

$$K_\varepsilon(\vartheta, t) = \varepsilon^{-1}\left[R\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right) - Q\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right)\right]$$

is asymptotically equivalent to

$$\tilde{K}_\varepsilon(\vartheta,t)=\int_0^t h_\varepsilon(\vartheta,s)\,\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon(s).$$

The difference is in the omitted term of order $O(\varepsilon)$.

We have to verify the convergence the integrals

$$\delta_\varepsilon=\int_0^T\frac{K_\varepsilon(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t)^2\,\dot S(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t,X_t)^2}{\mathrm{I}(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon)\,\sigma(t,X_t)^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\longrightarrow\int_0^T\frac{K(\vartheta,t)^2\,\dot S(\vartheta,t,x_t)^2}{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)\,\sigma(t,x_t)^2}\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

The regularity conditions $\mathcal{C}1-\mathcal{C}3$ provide us the uniform convergences

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t-x_t(\vartheta)|\longrightarrow 0,\qquad \mathrm{I}(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon)\longrightarrow\mathrm{I}(\vartheta),$$

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|h_\varepsilon(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t)-h(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t)\right|=\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\frac{\dot S(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t,X_t)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon)}\sigma(t,X_t)}-\frac{\dot S(\vartheta,t,x_t)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)}\sigma(t,x_t)}\right|\longrightarrow 0.$$

Introduce two processes

$$Y_\varepsilon\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)=\int_0^t\frac{\dot S\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,X_s\right)\left[S(\vartheta,s,X_s)-D(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,X_s)\right]}{\sigma(s,X_s)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

$$Z\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)=R\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)-\int_0^t\frac{\dot S\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,X_s\right)S(\vartheta,s,X_s)}{\sigma(s,X_s)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Then we can write

$$K_\varepsilon(t)=\varepsilon^{-1}\left[Y_\varepsilon\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)+Z\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)\right].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}S(\vartheta,s,X_s)-D(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,X_s)&=S(\vartheta,s,X_s)-S(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,X_s)+S(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,X_s)\\&\quad-S(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,x_s(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon))-S'(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,X_s)\left[X_s-x_s(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon)\right]\\&=-\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon-\vartheta\right)\dot S\left(\tilde\vartheta,s,X_s\right)\\&\qquad+\left[S'(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,\tilde X_s)-S'(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon,s,X_s)\right]\left[X_s-x_s(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon)\right]\\&=-\left(\hat\vartheta_\varepsilon-\vartheta\right)\dot S\left(\tilde\vartheta,s,X_s\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\varepsilon^{-1}Y_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,t,X^t)=-\frac{(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon-\vartheta)}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\frac{\dot{S}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,X_s)^2}{\sigma\left(s,X_s\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s+o\left(1\right)$$

Further,

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(Z(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,t,X^t)-Z(\vartheta,t,X^t))=\frac{(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon-\vartheta)}{\varepsilon}\,\dot{Z}\left(\vartheta,t,X^t\right)+o\left(1\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Z}\left(\vartheta,t,X^t\right)=&\int_{x_0}^{X_t}\frac{\ddot{S}(\vartheta,t,y)}{\sigma\left(t,y\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}y-\int_0^t\frac{\ddot{S}\left(\vartheta,s,X_s\right)S\left(\vartheta,s,X_s\right)}{\sigma\left(s,X_s\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s\\&-\int_0^t\int_{x_0}^{X_s}\frac{\ddot{S}'_s(\vartheta,s,y)\,\sigma\left(s,y\right)-2\ddot{S}(\vartheta,s,y)\,\sigma'_s\left(s,y\right)}{\sigma\left(s,y\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

We have uniforme w.r.t. t convergence of X_t to x_t, hence

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\dot{Z}\left(\vartheta,t,X^t\right)-\dot{Z}\left(\vartheta,t,x^t\right)\right|\to 0.$$

Note that for any continuosly differentiable w.r.t. s function $g\left(s,x\right)$ we have the relation

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_t}g\left(t,y\right)\,\mathrm{d}y-\int_0^t g\left(s,x_s\right)S\left(\vartheta,s,x_s\right)\mathrm{d}s-\int_0^t\int_{x_0}^{x_s}g'_s(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}s=0$$

because

$$\int_0^t g\left(s,x_s\right)S\left(\vartheta,s,x_s\right)\mathrm{d}s=\int_0^t g\left(s,x_s\right)\mathrm{d}x_s$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t g\left(t,x_s\right)\,\mathrm{d}x_s-\int_0^t g\left(s,x_s\right)\,\mathrm{d}x_s&=\int_0^t\int_s^t\frac{\partial g\left(v,x_s\right)}{\partial v}\mathrm{d}v\,\mathrm{d}x_s\\&=\int_0^t\int_0^t\mathbb{1}_{\{v:\,x_v>x_s\}}\frac{\partial g\left(v,x_s\right)}{\partial v}\mathrm{d}v\,\mathrm{d}x_s=\int_0^t\int_{x_0}^{x_v}g'_v(v,y)\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}v.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the function $\dot{Z}\left(\vartheta,t,x^t\right)\equiv 0$ for all $t\in[0,T]$.

We have by Itô formula

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Z\left(\vartheta,t,X^{t}\right)}{\varepsilon} &= \frac{R\left(\vartheta,t,X^{t}\right)}{\varepsilon}-\int_{0}^{t}\frac{\dot{S}\left(\vartheta,s,X_{s}\right)S\left(\vartheta,s,X_{s}\right)}{\varepsilon\sigma\left(s,X_{s}\right)^{2}}\mathrm{d}s\\ &= \int_{0}^{t}\frac{\dot{S}\left(\vartheta,s,X_{s}\right)}{\varepsilon\sigma\left(s,X_{s}\right)^{2}}\mathrm{d}X_{s}-\int_{0}^{t}\frac{\dot{S}\left(\vartheta,s,X_{s}\right)S\left(\vartheta,s,X_{s}\right)}{\varepsilon\sigma\left(s,X_{s}\right)^{2}}\mathrm{d}s\\ &\quad+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int_{0}^{t}\sigma\left(s,X_{s}\right)^{2}M_{xx}''\left(\vartheta,s,X_{s}\right)\mathrm{d}s\\ &= \int_{0}^{t}\frac{\dot{S}\left(\vartheta,s,X_{s}\right)}{\sigma\left(s,X_{s}\right)}\mathrm{d}W_{s}+O\left(\varepsilon\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the convergence

$$K_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\longrightarrow K\left(\vartheta,t\right),$$

and it can be shown that this convergence is uniform w.r.t. t. This proves the convergence $\delta_{\varepsilon}\to\delta$. Therefore the Theorem 1 is proved.

Let us study the behaviour of the power function under alternative. Suppose that the observed diffusion process (1) has the trend coefficient $S\left(t,x\right)$ which does not belong to the parametric family. This family we described as follows

$$\mathcal{F}=\left\{S\left(\cdot\right):\quad S\left(\vartheta,t,x_{t}\left(\vartheta\right)\right),\ 0\leq t\leq T,\vartheta\in\Theta\right\}.$$

Here $x_{t}\left(\vartheta\right),0\leq t\leq T$ is the solution of the equation (5).

We introduce slightly more strong condition of separability of hypothesis and alternative. Let us suppose that the function $S\left(t,x\right)$ satisfies the conditions $\mathcal{C}1,\mathcal{C}2$ and denote by $y_{t},0\leq t\leq T$ the solution of the limit ($\varepsilon=0$) ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y_{t}}{\mathrm{d}t}=S\left(t,y_{t}\right),\qquad y_{0}=x_{0}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{-1}\left(X_{t}-x_{t}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})\right) &= \varepsilon^{-1}\left(X_{t}-y_{t}\right)+\varepsilon^{-1}\left(y_{t}-x_{t}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})\right)\\ &= y_{t}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{-1}\left(y_{t}-x_{t}(\vartheta_{*})\right)-\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}-\vartheta_{*}\right)\dot{x}_{t}(\vartheta_{*})+o\left(1\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $y_{t}^{(1)}$ is solution of the equation

$$\mathrm{d}y_{t}^{(1)}=S'\left(t,y_{t}\right)y_{t}^{(1)}\mathrm{d}t+\sigma\left(t,y_{t}\right)\mathrm{d}W_{t},\qquad y_{0}^{(1)}=0$$

and ϑ_* is defined by the relation

$$\inf_{\vartheta\in\Theta}\int_0^T\left(\frac{S\left(\vartheta,t,y_t\right)-S\left(t,y_t\right)}{\sigma\left(t,y_t\right)}\right)^2\mathrm{d}t=\int_0^T\left(\frac{S\left(\vartheta_*,t,y_t\right)-S\left(t,y_t\right)}{\sigma\left(t,y_t\right)}\right)^2\mathrm{d}t. \tag{16}$$

Suppose that this equation has a unique solution ϑ_*. Note that $\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon-\vartheta_*\right)$ is tight (see [8] for details). Moreover, we suppose as well that the hypothesis and alternative are separated in the following sense

$$\inf_{\vartheta\in\Theta}\int_0^T\left(\frac{S\left(\vartheta,t,y_t\right)-S\left(t,y_t\right)}{\sigma\left(t,y_t\right)}\right)^2\mathrm{d}t>0.$$

First we write formally

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t h_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s)\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon\left(s\right)&=\int_0^t\frac{\dot{S}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,X_s)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon)}\,\sigma\left(s,X_s\right)}\,\mathrm{d}W_s\\&\quad-\int_0^t\frac{\dot{S}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,X_s)\,\left[S\left(s,X_s\right)-D(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,X_s)\right]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon)}\,\varepsilon\sigma\left(s,X_s\right)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s\\&=\int_0^t\frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta_*,s,y_s)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta_*)}\,\sigma\left(s,y_s\right)}\mathrm{d}W_s-\int_0^t\frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta_*,s,X_s)\,\left[S\left(s,X_s\right)-S(\vartheta_*,s,X_s)\right]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta_*)}\,\varepsilon\sigma\left(s,X_s\right)^2}\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned}&S\left(s,X_s\right)-D(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,X_s)\\&\quad=S\left(s,X_s\right)-S\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,x_s\left(\vartheta\right)\right)-S'\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,X_s\right)\left(X_s-x_s\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon\right)\right)\\&\quad=S\left(s,X_s\right)-S\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,X_s\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^2\right)\\&\quad=S\left(s,X_s\right)-S\left(\vartheta_*,s,X_s\right)+S\left(\vartheta_*,s,X_s\right)-S\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s,X_s\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^2\right)\\&\quad=S\left(s,X_s\right)-S\left(\vartheta_*,s,X_s\right)+\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon-\vartheta_*\right)\dot{S}\left(\vartheta_*,s,X_s\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t h_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,s)\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon\left(s\right)&=\int_0^t\frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta_*,s,y_s)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta_*)}\,\sigma\left(s,y_s\right)}\mathrm{d}W_s-\int_0^t\frac{(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon-\vartheta_*)\dot{S}(\vartheta_*,s,y_s)^2}{\varepsilon\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta_*)}\,\sigma\left(s,y_s\right)^2}\mathrm{d}s\\&\quad-\varepsilon^{-1}\int_0^t\frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta_*,s,y_s)\,\left[S\left(s,y_s\right)-S(\vartheta_*,s,y_s)\right]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta_*)}\,\sigma\left(s,y_s\right)^2}\mathrm{d}s+O\left(\varepsilon^2\right)\\&=I_1\left(t\right)-I_2\left(t\right)-\varepsilon^{-1}I_3\left(t\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

with obvious notations. For the statistic δ_ε we have the relations

$$\sqrt{\delta_\varepsilon} \geq \varepsilon^{-1} \left\| I_3 \left(\cdot \right) h \left(\cdot \right) \right\| - \left\| I_1 \left(\cdot \right) h \left(\cdot \right) \right\| - \left\| I_2 \left(\cdot \right) h \left(\cdot \right) \right\| + O \left(\varepsilon \right), \tag{17}$$

where $h \left(\cdot \right) = h \left(\vartheta_*, s \right)$ and $\left\| \cdot \right\|$ is $L_2 \left(0, T \right)$ norm. The quantities $\left\| I_1 \left(\cdot \right) h \left(\cdot \right) \right\|$ and $\left\| I_2 \left(\cdot \right) h \left(\cdot \right) \right\|$ are bounded in probability.

Introduce the condition

$\mathcal{C}5$. *The functions* $S \left(\vartheta, t, x \right), S \left(t, x \right)$ *and* $\sigma \left(t, x \right)$ *are such that*

$$\left\| I_3 \left(\cdot \right) h \left(\cdot \right) \right\|^2 = \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \left[S \left(s, y_s \right) - S(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \right]}{\mathrm{I}(\vartheta_*) \, \sigma \left(s, y_s \right)^2} \mathrm{d}s \right)^2 \frac{\dot{S} \left(\vartheta_*, t \right)^2}{\sigma \left(t, y_t \right)^2} \mathrm{d}t > 0.$$

This condition provides the consisteny of the test.

Theorem 2 *Let the conditions* $\mathcal{C}1 - \mathcal{C}5$ *be fulfilled, then the test* $\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon$ *is consistent.*

Proof. The proof follows from the convergence $\delta_\varepsilon \to \infty$ under alternative (see (17).

Note that if ϑ_* is an interior point of Θ, then

$$\int_0^T \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \left[S \left(s, y_s \right) - S(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \right]}{\sigma \left(s, y_s \right)^2} \, \mathrm{d}s = 0.$$

If the condition $\mathcal{C}5$ is not fulfilled then we have the relations

$$\int_0^t \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \left[S \left(s, y_s \right) - S(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \right]}{\sigma \left(s, y_s \right)^2} \, \mathrm{d}s \equiv 0, \qquad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, T] \, .$$

This equality is possible if

$$\dot{S}(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \left[S \left(s, y_s \right) - S(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \right] \equiv 0, \qquad \text{for all} \quad s \in [0, T] \, .$$

An example of such *invisible* alternative can be constructed as follows. Suppose that the function $S \left(\vartheta, s, x \right)$ does not depend on ϑ for $s \in [0, T/2]$, i.e., $S \left(\vartheta, s, x \right) = S_* \left(s, x \right)$ for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$. Then $\dot{S}(\vartheta_*, s, y_s) \equiv 0$ for $s \in [0, T/2]$. Therefore if $S \left(s, y_s \right) = S(\vartheta_*, s, y_s)$ for $s \in [T/2, T]$ and corresponding ϑ_* then the condition $\mathcal{C}5$ is not fulfilled but we can have $S \left(s, y_s \right) \neq S_*(s, y_s)$ for $s \in [0, T/2]$. This implies that the test $\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon$ is not consistent for such alternative.

3.2 Second test

The second test is based on the following known transformation. Suppose that we are given a Gaussian process $U(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $d \times d$ matrix $\mathbb{N}(t)$ defined by the relations

$$U(t) = W_t - \Big\langle \int_0^1 \mathbf{h}(s)\, \mathrm{d}W_s, \int_0^t \mathbf{h}(s)\, \mathrm{d}s \Big\rangle, \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbb{N}(t) = \int_t^1 \mathbf{h}(s)\, \mathbf{h}(s)^*\, \mathrm{d}s, \qquad \mathbb{N}(0) = \mathbb{J}, \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbb{J}$ is $d \times d$ unit matrix and $\mathbf{h}(t)$ is some continuous vector function.

Lemma 2 *Suppose that the matrix $\mathbb{N}(t)$ is non degenerate for all $t \in [0,1)$, then*

$$U(t) + \int_0^t \mathbf{h}(s)^*\, \mathbb{N}(s)^{-1} \int_0^s \mathbf{h}(v)\, \mathrm{d}U(v)\, \mathrm{d}s = w(t), \qquad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \tag{20}$$

where $w(\cdot)$ is some Wiener process.

Proof. This formula was obtained by Khmaladze [6]. The proof in [6] is based un two results: one is of Hitsuda [4] and the other of Shepp [14]. There are many publications devoted to this transformation (see, for example, the paper [13] and references therein). Another direct proof is given in [7].

Note that from the representation (18)-(19) it follows that

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{h}(s)\, \mathrm{d}U(s) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Suppose that the parameter $\vartheta \in \Theta$. Here Θ is an open bounded subset of $\mathscr{R}^d$. Now $\mathbf{h}(\vartheta, s), \mathbf{R}(\vartheta, t, X^t)$ and $\mathbf{Q}(\vartheta, t, X^t)$ are d-vectors and the Fisher information $\mathbb{I}(\vartheta)$ is $d \times d$ matrix.

Introduce the following stochastic processes

$$\bar{\mathbf{h}}_\varepsilon(\vartheta, t) = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, t, X_t)}{\sigma(t, X_t)}, \quad \bar{\mathbb{N}}(\vartheta, t) = \int_t^T \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, x_s)\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, x_s)^*}{\sigma(s, x_s)^2}\, \mathrm{d}s,$$

$$\bar{\mathbb{N}}_\varepsilon(\vartheta, t) = \int_t^T \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, X_s)\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, X_s)^*}{\sigma(s, X_s)^2}\, \mathrm{d}s,$$

and put

$$\Delta_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T W_\varepsilon(t)^2\, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Here

$$W_{\varepsilon}(t)=\int_0^t\frac{\mathrm{d}X_s}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)}-\int_0^t\frac{D(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)}\mathrm{d}s$$
$$+\varepsilon^{-1}\int_0^t\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s)^{*}\,\bar{\mathbb{N}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s\right)_{+}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{R}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X^{s}\right)-\mathbf{Q}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X^{s}\right)\right]\mathrm{d}s. \tag{22}$$

We use the following convention for the matrix $\bar{\mathbb{N}}$

$$\bar{\mathbb{N}}_{+}^{-1}=\begin{cases}\bar{\mathbb{N}}^{-1}, & \text{if } \bar{\mathbb{N}} \text{ is non degenerate,}\\ 0, & \text{if } \bar{\mathbb{N}} \text{ is degenerate.}\end{cases}$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 3 *Suppose that the conditions $\mathcal{C}2-\mathcal{C}4$ are fulfilled and the matrix $\bar{\mathbb{N}}(\vartheta,t)$ is uniformly in $\vartheta\in\Theta$ non degenerated for all $t\in[0,1)$. Then the test*

$$\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}=\mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta_{\varepsilon}>c_{\alpha}\}},\qquad \mathbf{P}\left(\int_0^1 w(s)^2\,\mathrm{d}s>c_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha$$

is ADF and belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$.

Proof. We have to show that under hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_0$ the convergence

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon}\Longrightarrow\Delta=\int_0^1 w(s)^2\,\mathrm{d}s \tag{23}$$

holds.

The construction of the ADF GoF test is based on the lemmae 1 and 2. We have the similar to (20) presentation (9) with $\mathbf{h}(\vartheta,t)$ defined in (10). Let us denote $U_{\varepsilon}(\cdot),\mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},\cdot)$, and $\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ the *empirical versions* of $U(\cdot),\mathbf{h}(\vartheta,\cdot)$ and

$$\mathbb{N}(\vartheta,t)=\mathbb{I}(\vartheta)^{-1}\int_t^T\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)^{*}}{\sigma(s,x_s)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s,\qquad \mathbb{N}(\vartheta,0)=\mathbb{J},$$

respectively:

$$U_{\varepsilon}(t)=\int_0^t\frac{\psi_{\varepsilon}(s)}{\sigma(s,X_s)}\,\mathrm{d}V_{\varepsilon}(s),\qquad V_{\varepsilon}(t)=\frac{X_t-x_t(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})}{\psi_{\varepsilon}(t)\,\varepsilon},$$
$$\mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},t)=\mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})^{-1/2}\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},t,X_t)}{\sigma(t,X_t)},$$
$$\mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})=\int_0^T\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)^{*}}{\sigma(s,X_s)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s,$$
$$\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},t)=\mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})^{-1}\int_t^T\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)^{*}}{\sigma(s,X_s)^2}\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Remind that there is a problem of the definition of the integral for $U_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ because the integrand depends on the future. As we have the uniform w.r.t. $t \in [0, T-\nu]$ convergence

$$\mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t) \longrightarrow \mathbf{h}(\vartheta, t), \qquad \mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}(\vartheta), \qquad \mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}(\vartheta, t)$$

this allow us to prove the validity of the necessary limits.

Introduce (formally) the statistic

$$W_{\varepsilon}^{\star}(t) = U_{\varepsilon}(t) + \int_0^t \mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s)^* \, \mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s\right)_+^{-1} \int_0^s \mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, v) \mathrm{d}U_{\varepsilon}(v) \, \mathrm{d}s. \quad (24)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{h}(\vartheta, s)^* \, \mathbb{N}(\vartheta, s)^{-1} \mathbf{h}(\vartheta, v) \\ &= \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, x_s)^*}{\sigma(s, x_s)} \left(\int_s^T \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, r, x_r) \dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, r, x_r)^*}{\sigma(r, x_r)^2} \, \mathrm{d}r \right)^{-1} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, v, x_v)}{\sigma(v, x_v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore this term does not depend on information matrix $\mathbb{I}(\vartheta)$ and we can replace in (24) the statistics $\mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s)$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s\right)$ by $\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s)$ and $\bar{\mathbb{N}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s\right)$.

For the process $U_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ we have the equality (15) (formally)

$$U_{\varepsilon}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}X_s}{\varepsilon \sigma(s, X_s)} - \int_0^t \frac{D(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s)}{\varepsilon \sigma(s, X_s)} \mathrm{d}s,$$

Hence we obtain the vector-integral

$$\int_0^t \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s) \mathrm{d}U_{\varepsilon}(s) = \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s)}{\varepsilon \sigma(s, X_s)^2} \mathrm{d}X_s - \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s) D(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s)}{\varepsilon \sigma(s, X_s)^2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Introduce the vector-function

$$\mathbf{M}(\vartheta, t, x) = \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, t, y)}{\sigma(t, y)^2} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Then by Itô formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, X_s)}{\sigma(s, X_s)^2} \mathrm{d}X_s = &\int_{x_0}^{X_t} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, t, y)}{\sigma(t, y)^2} \, \mathrm{d}y - \int_0^t \int_{x_0}^{X_s} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}'_s(\vartheta, s, y)}{\sigma(s, y)^2} \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{x_0}^{X_s} \frac{2\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta, s, y) \sigma'_s(s, y)}{\sigma(s, y)^3} \mathrm{d}s + O\left(\varepsilon^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us put

$$\mathbf{K}_{\varepsilon}(t)=\int_0^t \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s)\mathrm{d}U_{\varepsilon}(s)=\varepsilon^{-1}\left[\mathbf{R}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},t,X^t\right)-\mathbf{Q}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},t,X^t\right)\right].$$

Note that we omitted the term of order $O(\varepsilon^2)$.

All these allow us to replace the formal expression (24) for $W_{\varepsilon}^{\star}(t)$ by (22)

$$\begin{aligned}W_{\varepsilon}(t)&=\int_0^t\frac{\mathrm{d}X_s}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)}-\int_0^t\frac{D(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)}\mathrm{d}s\\&\quad+\varepsilon^{-1}\int_0^t\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s)^{*}\,\bar{\mathbb{N}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s\right)_{+}^{-1}\left[R\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X^s\right)-Q\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X^s\right)\right]\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

For the first two terms of $W_{\varepsilon}(t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}U_{\varepsilon}(t)&=\int_0^t\frac{\mathrm{d}X_s}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)}-\int_0^t\frac{D(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)}\mathrm{d}s\\&=W_t+\int_0^t\frac{S(\vartheta,s,X_s)-S(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}))-S'(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)(X_s-x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}))}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)}\mathrm{d}s\\&=W_t-\left\langle\frac{\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}-\vartheta}{\varepsilon},\int_0^t\frac{\dot{S}(\tilde{\vartheta},s,X_s)}{\sigma(s,X_s)}\mathrm{d}s\right\rangle\\&\qquad+\int_0^t\frac{\left[S'(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,\tilde{X}_s)-S'(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},s,X_s)\right](X_s-x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}))}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)}\mathrm{d}s\\&=W_t-\left\langle\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)^{-1}\int_0^T\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)}{\sigma(s,x_s)}\mathrm{d}W_s,\int_0^t\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,x_s)}{\sigma(s,x_s)}\mathrm{d}s\right\rangle+o(1)\\&=U(\vartheta,t)+o(1).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\left|\tilde{\vartheta}-\vartheta\right|\le\left|\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}\right|$ and

$$\left|\tilde{X}_s-X_s\right|\le\left|x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})-X_s\right|\le\left|x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})-x_s(\vartheta)\right|+|x_s(\vartheta)-X_s|\to 0.$$

This convergence is uniform w.r.t. $s\in[0,T]$. Hence

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}|U_{\varepsilon}(t)-U(\vartheta,t)|\longrightarrow 0.$$

Further, the similar arguments provide us the uniform w.r.t. $t\in[0,T]$ convergence

$$\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},t)=\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},t,X_t)}{\sigma(t,X_t)}\to\bar{\mathbf{h}}(\vartheta,t),\qquad\bar{\mathbb{N}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon},t)\to\bar{\mathbb{N}}(\vartheta,t).$$

We have to show that $\mathbf{K}_{\varepsilon}(t) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\vartheta, t)$, where

$$\mathbf{K}(\vartheta, t) = \int_0^t \bar{\mathbf{h}}(\vartheta, s)\, \mathrm{d}W_s - \int_0^T \bar{\mathbf{h}}(\vartheta, s)\, \mathrm{d}W_s \int_0^t \bar{\mathbf{h}}(\vartheta, s)\, \bar{\mathbf{h}}(\vartheta, s)^*\, \mathrm{d}s.$$

Let us denote

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t, X^t\right) = \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s\right)\left[S(\vartheta, s, X_s) - D(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s)\right]}{\sigma(s, X_s)^2}\mathrm{d}s,$$

$$\mathbf{Z}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t, X^t\right) = \mathbf{R}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t, X^t\right) - \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s\right) S(\vartheta, s, X_s)}{\sigma(s, X_s)^2}\mathrm{d}s.$$

Then we can write

$$\mathbf{K}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \varepsilon^{-1}\left[\mathbf{Y}_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t, X^t\right) + \mathbf{Z}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t, X^t\right)\right].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\vartheta, s, X_s) - D(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s) &= S(\vartheta, s, X_s) - S(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s) + S(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s) \\
&\quad - S(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})) - S'(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s)\left[X_s - x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})\right] \\
&= -\left\langle \left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta\right), \dot{\mathbf{S}}\left(\tilde{\vartheta}, s, X_s\right)\right\rangle \\
&\quad + \left[S'(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, \tilde{X}_s) - S'(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s)\right]\left[X_s - x_s(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon})\right] \\
&= -\left\langle \left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta\right), \dot{\mathbf{S}}\left(\tilde{\vartheta}, s, X_s\right)\right\rangle + O\left(\varepsilon^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t, X^t\right) = -\frac{\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta\right)}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s\right)\dot{\mathbf{S}}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, s, X_s\right)^*}{\sigma(s, X_s)^2}\mathrm{d}s$$

Further,

$$\varepsilon^{`-1}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon}, t, X^t\right) - \mathbf{Z}\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right)\right) = \frac{\hat{\vartheta}_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta}{\varepsilon}\dot{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right) + o(1)$$

where the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\vartheta, t, X^t\right) &= \int_{x_0}^{X_t} \frac{\ddot{\mathbb{S}}(\vartheta, t, y)}{\sigma(t, y)^2}\,\mathrm{d}y - \int_0^t \frac{\ddot{\mathbb{S}}(\vartheta, s, X_s)\, S(\vartheta, s, X_s)}{\sigma(s, X_s)^2}\mathrm{d}s \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \int_{x_0}^{X_s} \frac{\ddot{\mathbb{S}}'_s(\vartheta, s, y)\sigma(s, y) - 2\ddot{\mathbb{S}}(\vartheta, s, y)\sigma'_s(s, y)}{\sigma(s, y)^2}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\ddot{\mathbb{S}}(\cdot)$ is the matrix of the second derivatives w.r.t. ϑ. We have uniforme w.r.t. t convergence of X_t to x_t, hence

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left|\dot{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\vartheta,t,X^t\right)-\dot{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\vartheta,t,x^t\right)\right|\to 0.$$

Note that for any continuosly differentiable w.r.t. s function $g(s,x)$ we have the relation

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_t} g(t,y)\ \mathrm{d}y-\int_0^t g(s,x_s)\,S(\vartheta,s,x_s)\,\mathrm{d}s-\int_0^t\int_{x_0}^{x_s} g'_s(s,y)\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}s=0$$

because

$$\int_0^t g(s,x_s)\,S(\vartheta,s,x_s)\,\mathrm{d}s=\int_0^t g(s,x_s)\,\mathrm{d}x_s$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t g(t,x_s)\ \mathrm{d}x_s-\int_0^t g(s,x_s)\ \mathrm{d}x_s&=\int_0^t\int_s^t\frac{\partial g(v,x_s)}{\partial v}\mathrm{d}v\ \mathrm{d}x_s\\&=\int_0^t\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{v:\,x_v>x_s\}}\frac{\partial g(v,x_s)}{\partial v}\mathrm{d}v\ \mathrm{d}x_s=\int_0^t\int_{x_0}^{x_v} g'_v(v,y)\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}v.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the matrix $\dot{\mathbb{Z}}(\vartheta,t,x^t)\equiv 0$ for all $t\in[0,T]$.

We have by Itô formula

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbf{Z}(\vartheta,t,X^t)}{\varepsilon}&=\frac{\mathbf{R}(\vartheta,t,X^t)}{\varepsilon}-\int_0^t\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,X_s)\,S(\vartheta,s,X_s)}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)^2}\mathrm{d}s\\&=\int_0^t\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,X_s)}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)^2}\mathrm{d}X_s-\int_0^t\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,X_s)\,S(\vartheta,s,X_s)}{\varepsilon\sigma(s,X_s)^2}\mathrm{d}s\\&\qquad+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int_0^t\sigma(s,X_s)^2\,\mathbf{M}''_{xx}(\vartheta,s,X_s)\,\mathrm{d}s\\&=\int_0^t\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}(\vartheta,s,X_s)}{\sigma(s,X_s)}\mathrm{d}W_s+O(\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the convergence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{K}_\varepsilon(t)&=\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\mathbf{R}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)-\mathbf{Q}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)\right)\\&=\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\mathbf{Y}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)+\mathbf{Z}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon,t,X^t\right)\right)\longrightarrow\mathbf{K}(\vartheta,t).\end{aligned}$$

Further, the matrix $\bar{\mathbb{N}}_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s)$ converges uniformly on $s \in [0,T]$ to the matrix $\bar{N}(\vartheta, s)$. Therefore, we have uniform on $s \in [0, T-\nu]$ for $\nu > 0$ convergence of $\bar{\mathbb{N}}_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s)_+^{-1}$ to $N(\vartheta, s)^{-1}$. Introduce the random function

$$y_\varepsilon(s) = \varepsilon^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{h}}_\varepsilon(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s)^* \, \bar{\mathbb{N}}_\varepsilon \left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s\right)_+^{-1} \left[\mathbf{R}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X^s\right) - \mathbf{Q}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s, X^s\right)\right].$$

It is shown that we have the convergence

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le T-\nu} |y_\varepsilon(s) - y(\vartheta, s)| \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$y(\vartheta, s) = \bar{\mathbf{h}}(\vartheta, s)^* \, \bar{\mathbb{N}}(\vartheta, s)^{-1} \mathbf{K}(\vartheta, s).$$

Hence we have as well the convergence for all $t \in [0,1)$

$$W_\varepsilon(t) \longrightarrow U(\vartheta, t) + \int_0^t \bar{\mathbf{h}}(\vartheta, s)^* \, \bar{N}(\vartheta, s)^{-1} \mathbf{K}(\vartheta, s) \, \mathrm{d}s = w(t).$$

By the similar way it can be shown that for any $0 \le t_1 < \ldots < t_k \le T$ we have the convergence of the vectors

$$(W_\varepsilon(t_1), \ldots, W_\varepsilon(t_k)) \Longrightarrow (w(t_1), \ldots, w(t_k)).$$

Further, direct but cumbersome calculations allow to write the estimate

$$\mathbf{E}_\vartheta |W_\varepsilon(t_1) - W_\varepsilon(t_2)|^2 \le C|t_2 - t_1|, \qquad t_1, t_2 \in [0, T-\nu].$$

These two conditions provide the weak convergence of the integrals

$$\int_0^{T-\nu} W_\varepsilon(t)^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \Longrightarrow \int_0^{T-\nu} w(t)^2 \, \mathrm{d}t$$

for any $\nu > 0$. It can be shown that for any $\eta > 0$ there exist $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\int_{T-\nu}^{T} \mathbf{E}_\vartheta W_\varepsilon(t)^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \le \eta.$$

The proof is close to that given in [13] for similar integral.

4 Examples

Example 1. We consider the simplest case which allow us to have the ADF GoF test for each ε, i.e., no need to study statistics as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Note that the similar situation is discussed in [6].

Suppose that the observed diffusion process (under hypothesis) is

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \vartheta \, \mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \tag{25}$$

Then we have

$$h\left(\vartheta, t\right) = 1, \quad \mathrm{I}\left(\vartheta\right) = 1, \qquad N\left(\vartheta, t\right) = 1 - t,$$
$$\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon = X_1, \qquad \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon - \vartheta\right) = W_1 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, 1\right).$$

Further

$$x_t\left(\vartheta\right) = \vartheta \, t, \quad x_t^{(1)}\left(\vartheta\right) = W_t, \quad U\left(\vartheta, t\right) = W_t - W_1 \, t,$$
$$V_\varepsilon\left(t\right) = U_\varepsilon\left(t\right) = \varepsilon^{-1}\left(X_t - X_1 \, t\right) = W_t - W_1 \, t = B\left(t\right).$$

Hence

$$W_\varepsilon\left(t\right) = \varepsilon^{-1}\left(X_t - X_1 \, t\right) + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^t \left(1 - s\right)^{-1} \left[X_s - X_1 \, s\right] \mathrm{d}s$$

and under hypothesis we have

$$W_\varepsilon\left(t\right) = B\left(t\right) + \int_0^t \frac{B\left(s\right)}{1 - s} \, \mathrm{d}s = w\left(t\right).$$

Therefore

$$\Delta_\varepsilon = \int_0^1 W_\varepsilon\left(t\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 w\left(t\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t$$

and for the test $\hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta_\varepsilon > c_\alpha\}} \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ we have the equality

$$\mathbf{E}_\vartheta \hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{P}\left\{\int_0^1 B\left(t\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t > c_\alpha\right\} = \alpha$$

Example 2. Let us consider the linear case

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \left\langle \vartheta, \mathbf{H}\left(t, X_t\right)\right\rangle \mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon \sigma\left(t, X_t\right) \, \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where $\vartheta \in \Theta \subset \mathcal{R}^d$ and the functions $\mathbf{H}\left(t, x\right)$ and $\sigma\left(t, x\right)$ satisfy the conditions of regularity. In this case we can take $\bar{\mathbf{h}}_\varepsilon\left(\vartheta, t\right) = \bar{\mathbf{h}}_\varepsilon\left(t\right)$, i.e.; this

vector-function does not depend on ϑ. Hence the stochastic integral is well defined and the test has a simplified form. We have

$$\bar{\mathbf{h}}_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\mathbf{H}(t, X_t)}{\sigma(t, X_t)}, \qquad \bar{\mathbb{N}}_\varepsilon(\vartheta, s) = \int_s^T \frac{\mathbf{H}(t, x_t(\vartheta))\,\mathbf{H}(t, x_t(\vartheta))^*}{\sigma(t, x_t(\vartheta))^2}\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

$$\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}X_t}{\varepsilon\sigma(t, X_t)} - \frac{\left[\langle\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{H}(t, x_t(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon))\rangle + \langle\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{H}'_x(t, X_t)\rangle(X_t - x_t(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon))\right]\,\mathrm{d}t}{\varepsilon\sigma(t, X_t)},$$

$$W_\varepsilon(t) = U_\varepsilon(t) + \int_0^t \mathbf{H}(s, X_s)^*\,\bar{\mathbb{N}}_\varepsilon\left(\hat{\vartheta}_\varepsilon, s\right)^{-1} \int_0^s \mathbf{H}(v, X_v)\,\mathrm{d}U_\varepsilon(v)\,\mathrm{d}s$$

and so on.

References

[1] Dachian, S. and Kutoyants, Yu.A. (2007) On the goodness-of-fit tests for some continuous time processes, in *Statistical Models and Methods for Biomedical and Technical Systems*, F.Vonta *et al.* (Eds), Birkhäuser, Boston, 395-413.

[2] Darling, D. A. (1955) The Cramér-Smirnov test in the parametric case. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 26, 1-20.

[3] Freidlin, M. I. and Wentzell, A. D.(1998) *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems.* 2nd Ed., Springer, N.Y.

[4] Hitsuda, M. (1968) Representation of Gaussian processes equivalent to the Wiener process. *Osaka J. Math.*, 5, 299-312.

[5] Iacus S. and Kutoyants Yu. A. (2001) Semiparametric hypotheses testing for dynamical systems with small noise, *Math Methods Statist*, 10, 1, 105-120.

[6] Khmaladze, E. (1981) Martingale approach in the theory of goodness-of-fit tests. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 26, 240-257.

[7] Kleptsyna, M., Kutoyants Yu. A. (2013) On asymptotically distribution free tests with parametric hypothesis for ergodic diffusion processes. To appear in *Statist Inf Stoch Processes*, arXiv:1305.3382

[8] Kutoyants, Yu.A. (1994) *Identification of Dynamical Systems with Small Noise*, Kluwer, Dordrecht.

[9] Kutoyants, Yu. A., (2011) On goodness-of-fit tests for perturbed dynamical systems. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 141, 1655-1666.

[10] Kutoyants, Yu. A., (2013) On asymptotic distribution of parameter free tests for ergodic diffusion processes. To appear in *Statist Inf Stoch Processes*, arXiv:1302.1026.

[11] Kutoyants, Yu. A., (2013) On ADF goodness-of-fit tests for stochastic processes. To appear in Proceedings *Applied Stochastic Modeling and Data Analysis*, International Conference (ASMDA2013).

[12] Liptser, R. and Shiryaev, A.(2001) *Statistics of Random Processes*. Vols. I+II, 2nd Ed., Springer.

[13] Maglapheridze, N, Tsigroshvili, Z. P. and van Pul, M. (1998) Goodness-of-fit tests for parametric hypotheses on the distribution of point processes, *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*, 7, 60-77.

[14] Shepp, L. (1966) Radon-Nykodym derivatives of Gaussian measures. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 37, 2, 321-354.

[15] Yoshida, N. (1993). Asymptotic expansion of Bayes estimators for small diffusions. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 95, 429-450.

[16] Yoshida, N.(1996) Asymptotic expansions for perturbed systems on Wiener space: maximum likelihood estimators, *J.Multivariate Analysis*, 57, 1-36.